

MAN, ENVIRONMENT AND SOCIETY Vol. 5, No. 1, 2024, pp. 1-18, ISSN: 2582-7669 © ARF India. All Right Reserved URL : www.arfjournals.com/mes https://DOI:10.47509/MES.2024.v05i1.01

# The Impact of Environmental Protection Policies on Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Tanzania: An Empirical Analysis

## ANORD BAKOBI<sup>1,\*</sup> AND FULGENCE DOMINICK WARYOBA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>St. Augustine University of Tanzania, Mwanza, Tanzania, <sup>2</sup> Email: dominic.fulgence@saut.ac.tz ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9506-0839 <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's E-mail: anoldbakobi88@gmail.com

Received : 03 January 2024 • Revised : 14 February 2024; Accepted : 20 February 2024 • Published : 30 June 2024

Abstract: The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of environmental protection policies on greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania. Total natural resources rent, foreign direct investment, gross domestic product and environmental protection policy were applied as determinants of greenhouse gas emission. Ordinary least squares (OLS)-Heteroskedasticity corrected was appropriate for the current study's analysis of time series data from 1990 to 2021. The environmental protection policy entered the model as a dummy variable with 0 before policy adoption and 1 during and after adoption. No effect on greenhouse gas emissions resulted from foreign direct investment and economic growth. Total natural resources rent had a negative significant impact implying that the 1997 environmental protection policy and other afterward laws and regulations affected natural resources in that could significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The country also experienced higher greenhouse gas emissions in the years after the environmental protection policy of 1997 was adopted compared to the period before its adoption. This was likely due to the fact that from 1997 onward, economic growth was higher than in the years before the policy was adopted. Therefore, the use of a policy dummy may not reflect the effect of the policy on emission but rather the effect of economic growth on emission. Even though, the Vice President's office, which deals with environmental conservation, should increase stringency and education to the public. Areas for further studies have also been suggested.

*Keywords:* Pollution control adoption; air pollution; environment and trade *JEL Code:* Q52; Q53; Q56

# 1. Introduction

Human activities are increasing globally due to the increase in world population as well as global technological advancement. Some of these activities pollute the environment

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Bakobi, A., & Waryoba, F.D. (2024). The Impact of Environmental Protection Policies on Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Tanzania: An Empirical Analysis, *Man, Environment and Societys*, 5(1), pp. 1-18. DOI:10.47509 /MES.2024.v05i1.01

and cause hazardous effects on human life. According to the World Health Organization (2016), a quarter of all global deaths are linked to environmental risks. Countries are striving to reduce greenhouse gas emissions because of their global warming effects. Governments and policymakers are striving to achieve a net zero emission by 2050 (Hailemariam and Erdiaw-Kwasie, 2022). The United Nations climate summit in Glasgow in November 2021, called COP26, highlighted at length the importance of having net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. For example, China proposed a two-stage carbon emission goal in 2020 indicating that by 2030 and 2060 it will make all efforts to attain 'carbon peak' and 'carbon neutrality' respectively (Guo and Che, 2023). Greenhouse gas emissions have strong negative externalities on the process of economic development, an indication of market failure.

Although many countries are committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions to zero by 2050, statistics show that emissions of gases have increased dramatically and therefore global warming has emerged as a major policy concern around the world (Hailemariam and Erdiaw-Kwasie, 2022; Alam *et al.*, 2021; Chakraborty and Maity, 2020; Diffenbaugh and Burke, 2019). Total greenhouse gas emissions are projected to reach 75 Gigatons  $CO_2$ -equivalent by 2060 (OECD, 2020). In the East African Community, heads of state agreed to develop policies and strategies to address the adverse impacts of climatic change. The East African Community Climate Change Policy was introduced in 2011 to provide an integrated, harmonized, multi-sectoral framework for responding to climate change (EACCCP, 2011). The EAC Partner States were required to immediately adopt the policy and implement it.

Tanzania is experiencing rapid economic growth with urbanization and industrialization leading to significant challenges in pollution management from wastewater, air pollution, noise, and vibration, chemical waste, and land pollution (NEMPSI, 2022). Air pollution is one of the forms of pollution experienced countrywide although the magnitude of pollution varies from location to location depending on the economic activities. According to statistics collected from the public on environmental pollution complaints from 2019 to 2022, Dar es Salaam accounts for 88 per cent of air pollution incidents, while Dodoma, Mwanza, Arusha, and Mbeya account for 2 to 4 per cent. On average, Dar es Salaam has higher income and consumption levels compared to other urban and rural areas (Waryoba, 2023), which implies more activities in Dar es Salaam than in other parts of the country.

Emissions from industries affect 66 per cent of major cities including Dar es Salaam, Mwanza, Arusha, and Mbeya. It extends further to 40 per cent of municipalities including Iringa, Singida, Temeke, Morogoro, Musoma, Ilemela, Kigoma, and Kigamboni (NEMPSI, 2022). The common industries that generate air pollutants include coal and oil-fired thermal plants, cement production industries generating particulate matter, waste recycling, recovery industries generating emissions but also heavy metal air pollutants depending on the raw waste processed. The major challenge of industrial pollution is the lack of efficient air pollution control equipment in industries and their locations near urban populations (NEMPSI, 2022). However, there is not enough data on environmental protection expenditure in Tanzania. For example, in the years 2017 and 2018, the environmental protection expenditures were TZS 11.3 billion (0.01 per cent of GDP) and TZS 10.2 billion (0.008 per cent of GDP) respectively (IMF, 2023) and these are the only two data available from the source.

Tanzania among other countries is striving to reduce greenhouse gas by protecting the environment and reducing climatic change impact by formulating different policies and laws. Among those policies that specifically emphasized controlling greenhouse gas emissions are; the National Environmental Action Plan (NEAP) of 1994, the Sustainable Industrial Policy of 1996, The National Environment Policy (NEP) (1997), The National Forest Policy (1998), the legal framework for dealing with greenhouse gas emissions is Environmental Management (EMA) Act No. 20 of 2004. However, Tanzania signed multilateral agreements and protocols on environmental protection including the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), 1996, UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD), 1994, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), 1996, Kyoto Protocol to the UNFCCC, 2002, the Ramsar Convention, 2000, Basel Convention on the Control of Trans-boundary Movements of Hazardous Waste and their Disposal, 1993, Bamako Convention on the Ban of the Import into Africa and the Control of Trans-boundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes within Africa, 1993, Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer, 1993, Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), 2004, Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, 1993 to mention but a few. It is, however, not known how far these policies, agreements, protocols, and laws have helped in reducing greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania.

## 2. Theoretical Backgrounds

According to Guler and Ozarslan (2023), the relationship between the environment and economics can be evaluated in the context of externalities. Environmental pollution is a negative externality that leads to market failure in environmental economics. The relationship of negative externality between individuals and firms within the scope

of economic theory can be examined in a particular theoretical framework with the help of an analytical model (Guler and Ozarslan, 2023). However, to arrive at the theoretical model, some assumptions have to be made for example we assume complete information, perfect completion, no pre-existing pollution, current pollution, and the presence of fixed pollution technologies.

$$-C_{i}(e_{j}) = D_{i}'(E) \tag{1}$$

Where,  $e_j$  is the emissions produced by *j*-th firm, and therefore  $C_j(e_j)$  is the cost of environmental pollution caused by *j*-th firm emissions.  $D'_i(E)$  is the welfare loss encountered by *i*-th individual due to emissions up to E. The emission cost for every firm in the market is shown in Equation 2 below. The point where the first-order derivatives are equated to each other in the equation is considered the first condition for efficient allocation, which is the output of the social objective function aimed at reducing emissions.

$$-C_{j}(e_{j}) = -C_{k}(e_{k}) = \dots = -C_{n}(e_{n})$$
(2)

Emission costs increase if the actual emissions are greater than the expected values  $(e_j > \hat{e}_j)$ . Another condition is that the marginal reduction costs of the firms in the market are equal. The first derivative of the cost function in equations 1 and 2 is called the Marginal Abatement Cost (MAC) (Phaneuf and Requate, 2017; Guler andOzarslan, 2023). In this scenario, individuals try to compensate for their welfare losses, and firms that pollute the environment face the cost of reducing pollution while internalizing negative externalities. Although coarse theorem can effectively solve local problems relating to efficient allocations, there is a need for government policy intervention in the market since the mark*et al*one cannot fully internalize the problem. Therefore, environmental protection policies are needed since they are closely related to societies' welfare and the cost structures of the firms while solving efficient allocation problems.

#### 3. Literature Review

4

Greenhouse gas emissions particularly carbon dioxide is mostly caused by human activities. That is why GDP growth influences CO2 emission (Dominick, 2014) making factors behind GDP like foreign direct investment (Waryoba, 2017) important explanatory variables of greenhouse gas emission. One of the first studies to examine the link between human activities and carbon emissions was Ehrlich and Holdren (1971), and later Hailemariam and Erdiaw-Kwasie (2023). Various studies investigated how different practices can impact greenhouse gas emissions. Because an automatic reverse of environmental pollution may take a very long time (Dominick,

2014). For instance, progress toward a circular economy significantly improves environmental quality by reducing CO2 emissions (Hailemariam andErdiaw-Kwasie, 2023). Greenhouse gas emissions can be reduced by improving material flow efficiency and extending the useful life of products and materials (Cimen, 2021; Munaro *et al.*, 2020).

Environmental protection tax is another practice for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Du and Zhou (2022) found that the improvement of sulfur dioxide emission charge standard can effectively inhibit industrial sulfur dioxide emission, which verifies the existence of environmental effects of pollution levy policies. Wu and Chen (2023) examined the impact of fiscal environment protection expenditures on agricultural carbon emissions in China using panel data of 31 provinces (cities) from 2007 to 2020. The empirical tests show that fiscal environment protection expenditures have significant negative impacts on agricultural carbon emissions; fiscal environment protection expenditures have a heterogeneous impact on agricultural carbon emissions in different regions, which shows that it has a significant impact on the eastern and central regions and provinces with relatively "high" carbon emissions, while it has insignificant impact on the western regions and provinces with relatively "low" carbon emissions. Further, the results of mechanism analysis show that the impact of fiscal environment protection expenditures on agricultural carbon emissions is mainly manifested in its inhibiting effect on agricultural diesel, fertilizer, and film use of carbon emissions. According to Laborde et al. (2021), carbon emissions from agricultural production account for nearly 15 per cent of the total human carbon emissions. Guo and Chen (2023) used panel data from 30 Chinese provinces from 2009 to 2020 to investigate the connection between carbon emission intensity and investment in environmental protection. It was found that investment in environmental protection has a nonlinear inverted U-shaped relationship with carbon emission intensity, that is, with the increase in environmental protection investment, its effect on carbon emission has changed from promoting to inhibiting. The results corroborate with the study by Sijin and Xiaocong (2022), Sheng and Zhang (2021); Lin and Yang (2022); Huang and Gao (2016), and Simionescu and Gavurova (2023).

Yang, Tang, and Zhang (2020) conducted a study in China on the direct impact of environmental regulation on carbon emissions and its indirect effects on carbon emissions through foreign direct investment (FDI), energy consumption, industrial structure, and technological innovation using special econometric model and the provincial panel data of 2003–2017. The results show that the direct impact of environmental regulation on carbon emissions is significant and positive. Environmental regulation could indirectly influence carbon emissions by influencing, FDI, energy consumption, and technological innovation.

There are mixed results from different scholars as to whether environmental protection policies and legal frameworks have a significant impact on greenhouse gas emissions reduction. For example, Huang (2014) and Xiu (2014) found that the policies have a significant impact on reducing greenhouse gas emissions, just like in Du (2013), Xiu and Liu (2014), Huang, Li and Chen (2014), Huang and Guo (2017), Zhang *et al.* (2019) and Zhang (2016). Some scholars point out that strict environmental regulation may increase business costs and weaken an enterprise's competitiveness (Maria and Werf, 2013; Grafton *et al.*, 2014; Allaire and Brown, 2016; and Sterner *et al.*, 2016).

Liu *et al.*, (2023) conducted a study in Asia Pacific Region using panel data from 1991 to 2021 concerning the relationship between environmental policy stringency, innovation, and carbon emissions. They found that a positive shock in environmental policy stringency has a significant negative impact on carbon dioxide and vice versa in both the short and long term.

Assamoi and Wang (2023) conducted a study in China and the United States taking data from 1985 to 2021 using NARDL. The empirical results show that improvement in EPU deteriorates environmental quality in both countries. However, a negative change in EPU reduces emissions in China and increases them in the United States. In addition, a positive change in environmental policy stringency will lead to fewer emissions, while a negative change will worsen environmental damage.

Simionescu and Gavurova (2023) checked whether income inequality enhances pollution in the EU-13 countries from 2002 to 2021 using the Fully Modified Least Square (FMOLS) estimators and method of moment's quantile regression. The result shows that in most cases, the Gini index and gender pay gap negatively impacted Greenhouse Gas Emissions. For robustness check, from 2006 to 2021, the environmental protection investments of the general government reduced greenhouse gas emissions only in the long run.

## 4 Methodology

## 4.1. Research Design

The study followed a quantitative research method since the use of modelling necessitated the regression analysis application. Several models can be utilized, for instance, the Nonlinear Auto Regressive Distributed Lad model (NARDL) (Liu *et al.*,

2023; Asamoi and Wang, 2023), panel asymmetric ARDL (Li *et al.*, 2023), quantile fixed-effect panel data (Albulescu *et al.*, 2022), non-linear panel ARDL model (Yirong, 2022), Systematic General Method of Moments (Hassan and Rousseliere, 2022; Wang *et al.*, 2020), panel threshold (Ouyang *et al.*, 2019), autoregressive distributed lag ARDL model (Sarkar, *et al.*, 2018; Isam, *et al.*, 2021; Rahman and Alam, 2021; Islam *et al.*, 2017; Wahid *et al.*, 2017), vector error collection model (Alom *et al.*, 2017; Sharmin and Tareque, 2018), vector autoregressive models (Amin, Ferdaus and Porna, 2012; Islam, Irfan and Shahbaz, 2022), and mixed models (Sharmin, 2021) just to mention a few. The current study used an Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)-Heteroskedasticity corrected model in the analysis. The following expression was used to verify the variable relationships.

$$ghg_t = \infty + \beta_1(nrr)_t + \beta_2(fdi)_t + \beta_3(pol)t + \beta_4(gdp)_t$$
(3)

Where *ghg* represents Greenhouse Gas emissions, *nrr* is natural resources rent, *fdi* is foreign direct investment, *pol* represents the policy dummy, and *GDP* is the gross domestic product.

## 4.2. Data and Data Sources

Different studies have used various variables to analyze the cause of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. For example, studies that used energy intensity are Salim et al., (2017), Islam et al., (2020), Amin et al., (2012), and Sharmin and Tareque, (2017). Those that used population as one of the variables causing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are Zang, Tang and Yang (2020), Guo and Chen, (2020), and Zang, Way and Way, (2022). Studies that used technological innovation as a variable that indirectly determine how environmental protection policies affect greenhouse gas emissions are Zang, Wang and Wang (2022), Zang, Yang and Tang, (2020), Jiun, Wang and Zang, (2020), Ai, Reng and Xiong, (2021), Zang (2021), Yan et al., (2020), Liu, Li and Yin, (2018), Bel and Joseph, (2018) and Cae et al., (2019) among the recent studies. Studies that used total energy consumption as a variable in measuring greenhouse gas emissions among other studies are Zang, YangandTang, (2020), Hassan andChongbo, (2020), Dale (2021), Sarkar (2021), Hassain (2011), Hazeab, et al., (2017), Wang and Fang (2018), Kan, et al., (2020), Franco, (2021), Hassain, (2020), Ahmad and Zhao, (2018), Valencia-Herera, (2020), Ahmad et al., (2020), Ali, Law and Zannnah, (2016), and Alan, Udinand Islam, (2017). Recent research that used greenhouse emissions as a dependent variable are Dale, (2020); Tsai, Chang and Chang, (2016) and Wuand Chen (2017). Many other studies used variables like Fossil fuel consumption, GDP, Population, Affluence,

Urbanization, Industrial structure, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), non-renewable energy consumption, and environmental regulation among other variables. This study used variables that are indirectly affected by environmental protection policies to determine how those policies are effective in reducing greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania. The reason for using these variables is that no data for Tanzania measures the stringency of the policies or the environmental protection policy index. The explanatory variables used in this study are foreign direct investment, *fdi* measured in USD, and total natural resources rent, *nrr* measured in per centages of GDP. The control variable was GDP growth rate, *gdp* while the explained variable is greenhouse gas emissions, *ghg* was measured in kilotons (kt) of CO2 Equivalent. Data sources were the World Bank data, and Climate Watch data.

## 4.3. Results and Discussion

## 4.3.1. Pre-estimation Tests

Figure 1 represents a time series graph of variables used in this study namely greenhouse gas emissions (ghg) measured in kilotons of CO2 Equivalent, natural resources rent (nrr) measured in percentages of GDP, foreign direct investment (fdi) measured in USD and gross domestic product (GDP) measured in percentages of growth rate.



Figure 1: Time series graph of variables

Source: World Bank and Climate Watch Data (2023)

Data for greenhouse gas emissions show that emissions have been increasing since 1990 from about 40,000 kilotons of CO2 equivalent in 1990 to about 90,000 kilotons of CO2 equivalent. This shows that emissions have increased more than double in thirty years in Tanzania. Natural resources rent contributed a large percentage of GDP in the period between 1990 and 1997 which ranged between 10 to 14 per cent, however, the contribution declined to an average of 5 per cent from 1998 to 2018, and then in 2020 the rent started to increase. Foreign direct investment was very low in the years from 1990 to 1998. Thereafter it started increasing and fluctuating largely from 1999 to 2021. The GDP growth rate was below 5 per cent between 1990 and 2000 and it then maintained an average of 6 per cent from 2001 to 2019 which then declined probably due to the effects of the coronavirus.

Table 2 presents the pre-estimation tests. Panel (a) presents a correlation analysis of the variables to test the existence of strong linear associations among independent variables to avoid spurious regressions. The analysis shows that there were no strong correlations among independent variables since all variables reported a correlation coefficient of less than -/+ 0.7 in absolute terms. According to the rule of thumb a correlation coefficient of  $\geq 0.7$  or  $\leq 0.7$  is considered problematic.

Emissions	Rent	FDI	GDP	
1	-0.6068	0.7661	0.3863	Emissions
	1	-0.542	-0.5095	Rent
		1	0.4746	FDI
			1	GDP

#### Table 2: Pre-estimation tests

## (b) Normality test

(a) Correlation analysis

Variable	Jarque-Bera test	p-value
Emissions	2.57057	0.276572
GDP	3.71334	0.156192
Rent	5.47323	0.0647893
FDI	2.36428	0.306622

#### (c) Unit root test

Variable	Critical Value	Test Statistics
Emissions	-1.03199	-2.87023
GDP	-0.454433	-3.0044
Rent	-0.271055	-2.0663
FDI	-2.66932	-3.28468

Two-tailed critical values for n = 32: 5% 0.3494, 1% 0.4487 *Source:* Authors' computation

The Jarque-Bera test was carried out to uncover the normality of variables as shown in panel (b). It was found that all variables had p-values greater than 0.05 indicating that the variables were normally distributed. But even when the criteria are relaxed to 10 per cent levels of significance, only natural resources rent seem to contend with normal tests. That is, being not normally distributed, the rest remain normally distributed.

The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test was used to determine whether each variable had a unit root. The results from panel (c) show that the null hypotheses of the existence of unit roots are strongly rejected, indicating that all variables were stationary at level form. The test statistic of individual variables was greater than the estimated critical values. This was expected because the values were in terms of rate rather than level values.

Yang, Tang and Zhang (2020) found that the relationship between environmental regulation and carbon emission is positive and significant. This study found that environmental policies significantly increased greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania. Angelis *et al.* (2019) found evidence that the environmental policy rigidity index, used to explain environmental regulation, exhibits negative and enormously significant coefficients. Simionescu and Gavulova (2023) found out that the environmental protection investments of the general government reduced GHG emissions only in the long run. Table 3 shows the results from the regression analysis on how the independent variables affect the greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania. The results show that total natural resources rent has a negative and significant impact on reducing greenhouse gases.

	Coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
Const	0.567631	0.373899	1.518	0.142
lnnrr	0.077617	0.031723	2.447	0.0221
policy	0.100481	0.034455	2.916	0.0076
lnfdi	-0.000326890	0.002862	-0.1142	0.91
lngdpr	0.011799	0.013202	0.8937	0.3804
lngdpr_1	-0.00705290	0.008173	-0.8629	0.3967
lnghg_1	0.943831	0.037094	25.44	7.13E-19
R-squared	0.995243	Adjusted R-squared		0.994054

Tab	le 3:	Heteros	kedastici	ty-corrected	OLS
-----	-------	---------	-----------	--------------	-----

Source: Authors' computation of data

It was observed that a one per cent increase in total natural resources rent will increase greenhouse gas emissions by about 0.08 per cent. The effect is statistically

#### The Impact of Environmental Protection Policies on Greenhouse Gas Emissions...

significant at 5 per cent levels of significance. This is contrary to what is expected. The possible explanation is that the extractive industries have little emissions compared to production industries which are not affected by the natural resource rent. Nevertheless, the natural resource rent is likely to be lower among investors as they consider no loss in continuing to extract the minerals. Therefore, it is high time now for the government through the Ministry of Minerals and Energy to revise its policy on natural resource rent. Otherwise, natural resource extraction poses a big environmental threat to the development of our country. Because companies involved in the extraction of natural resources are contributing negatively to environmental conservation in Tanzania. Foreign direct investment is important in reducing greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania due to technological aspects. New technology is replacing obsolete technology in the production process. However, this is possible if the investor is not shifting pollution to the host country. Normally, developing countries are regarded as the dumping sites of obsolete technology from developed nations. This implies that regulations are strong enough to influence FDI to significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Xu, Zhou and Li (2016) explored the relationship between foreign direct investment, environmental regulation and energy consumption which revealed a negative influence of environmental regulation on foreign direct investment in both the long and short term. According to Chung (2014) and Zhou et al., (2016) polluting industries tended to invest more in countries with lesser environmental regulation. Tanzania has to increase stringency in protecting the environment in order to continue influencing FDI to contribute to reducing greenhouse gases.

Variable	Rent	FDI	policy	GDP	Lag GDP	Lag Emission
VIF	3.995	3.108	7.275	3.391	2.847	2.195

#### Table 4: Collinearity Test

Source: Authors' computation

The GDP growth rate has no significant impact on reducing greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania. The study contradicts the findings by Guler and Ozarslan (2023), Wang, Wang and Zhang (2022) who found out that economic growth has a significant impact on carbon emissions. The policy introduced in 1997 shows that it significantly increased greenhouse gas emissions instead of reducing them. A multicollinearity test was conducted to detect if there were collinearity problems and it was found that the model has no collinearity problems since the value inflation factor for each variable was less than 10 as shown in Table 4. For the Multicollinearity problem, the variance inflation factor should be 10 and above.

## 5 Conclusions

This study analysed the impact of environmental protection policies on greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania. Out of the six variables used, only natural resources rent and environmental protection policy dummy were significant in influencing greenhouse gas emissions. Total natural resources rent had a significant but positive impact on greenhouse gas emissions. This implies that environmental protection policies indirectly impact greenhouse gas emissions through natural resources rent. Foreign direct investment had a significant but positive impact on greenhouse gas emissions in Tanzania. The years through which the policy was adopted seem to have higher greenhouse gas emissions than the years before the policy was adopted. The policy was adopted in the period privatization was taking place to spur economic growth. So, privatization policy seems to be more powerful thereby outweighing the influence of environmental protection policy. If more investment is made in the country and without strict environmental protection policies, more emissions will be expected even with the current environmental policy being present. Reinforcement of the environmental protection policy is what the current practice is missing. Nevertheless, the available instruments are mostly concerned with land and water pollution rather than air pollution which is at the center of the current study's focus. Therefore, it is imperative that air pollution control be seriously taken into consideration in the ongoing environmental protection practices.

The current study, however, was limited to data availability. With 32 observations, the current study's findings posed a risk of validity problem. The findings of the current study are likely to change with an increasing number of observations. Therefore, future studies should include more observations to check for the robustness of the current study's findings. The policy dummy is likely to mislead the findings because it is true that years after 1997 had higher economic growth signifying the presence of more economic activities which influence emissions. As a matter of fact, it is of methodological significance to consider other policy indicators rather than the binary approach employed in the current study.

## Acknowledgement

This work received no funding from any institution. The authors acknowledge the efforts played by the staff members of the Economics Department at St. Augustine University of Tanzania. However, the views of this work lie solely to the authors.

## References

- Afangideh, U. J., T.S. Garbobiya, F.B. Umar, N. Usman, V.U. Ocheni, and S.M. Yakubu. 2021. Asymmetric effects of exchange rate on money demand in Nigeria: evidence from the new broad money aggregate (M3), *Afr. J. Econ. Manag. Stud.* 12(3): 470–485.
- Ahmad, A. U. *et al.*, 2020. Heterogeneous relationships between urbanization, energy consumption, economic growth on environmental degradation: panel study of Malaysia and selected ASEAN+ 3 countries, *J. Environ. Treat. Tech.* 8 (1): 573–581.
- Ahmad, M. and Z.Y. Zhao. 2018. Empirics on linkages among industrialization, urbanization, energy consumption, CO2 emissions, and economic growth: a heterogeneous panel study of China, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25(30): 30617–30632.
- Ahmed, K. 2020. Environmental policy stringency, related technological change and emissions inventory in 20 OECD countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 274: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111209
- Alam, K, and M.M. Rahman. 2021. Clean energy, population density, urbanization and environmental pollution nexus: evidence from Bangladesh, *Renew Energy* 172: 1063–1072.
- Albulescu, C. T., M.E. Boatca-Barabas, and A. Diaconescu, A. 2022. The asymmetric effect of environmental policy stringency on CO2 emissions in OECD countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(18): 27311- 27327.
- Ali, H. S. S.H. Law, and Y.I. Zannah. 2016. Dynamic impact of urbanization, economic growth, energy consumption, and trade openness on CO 2 emissions in Nigeria. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 23(12): 12435–12443.
- Allaire, Mand Brown, S. P. A. 2016. "the green paradox of U.S. Biofuel subsidies: impact on greenhouse gas emissions," *Economics of Energy and Environmental Policy*, 4(2): 83–101.
- Alom, K, Uddin, A. and N. Islam. 2017. Energy consumption, CO2 emissions, urbanization and financial development in Bangladesh: vector error correction model, *J. Global Econ. Manag. Bus. Res.* 9(4): 178–189.
- Amin, S. B, S.S Ferdaus, and A.K. Porna. 2012. Causal relationship among energy use, CO2 emissions and economic growth in Bangladesh: an empirical study. *World J. Sport Sci.*2(8): 273–290.
- Assamoi, G. R., and S. Wang. 2023. Asymmetric effects of economic policy uncertainty and environmental policy stringency on environmental quality: evidence from China and the United States. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(11): 29996-30016.
- Cao, J. Y. Pei, Y., Zhu, S. Liu, and X. Wang. 2019. Environmental regulation and carbon emission: The mediation effect of technical efficiency. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 236: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.07.074.

- Chakraborty, I., and P. Maity. 2020. COVID-19 outbreak: Migration, effects on society, global environment and prevention. *Science of the Total Environment*. 728:138882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020. 138882
- Çimen, Ö. 2021. Construction and built environment in circular economy: A comprehensive literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 305: 127180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2021.127180
- Chung, J.S. 2014. Environmental regulation and foreign direct investment: evidence from South Korea. *Journal of Development Economics*, 108: 222–236.
- Dale, J.S. 2021. *BP Statistical Review of World Energy*. BP Plc Lond U K, 14–16. Published online.
- De Angelis, E. M., M.D. Giacomo, and D. Vannoni . 2019. Climate change and economic growth: The role of environmental policy stringency. Sustainability, 11(8): 1–15. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su11082273.
- Diffenbaugh, N. S., and M. Burke. 2019. Global warming has increased global economic inequality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(20): 9808–9813. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816020116
- Dominick, F. 2014. The existence of environmental Kuznets curve: Is it a methodological fact? *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 5(28): 138-145. https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEDS
- Du, X. 2013. Policy constructing of energy-saving and emission reduction: based on the perspective of environmental regulation. *Ecological Economy*, 3(2): 67–73.
- EACCCP, 2011. East African Community (EAC) Climate Change Policy (EACCCP). EAC Secretariat Arusha, Tanzania.
- Ehrlich, P. R., and J.P. Holdren. 1971. Impact of Population Growth: Complacency concerning this component of man's predicament is unjustified and counterproductive. *Science*, 171(3977): 1212-1217.
- Grafton, R. Q., T. Kompas, N.V. Long,. and H. To. 2014. US biofuels subsidies and CO2 emissions: an empirical test for a weak and a strong green paradox. *Energy Policy*, 68(5): 550–555.
- Güler, C. and B. Özarslan Doğan. 2023. Do environmental policy stringency and innovation reduce carbon emissions: empirical evidence from G-7 countries. *KAUJEASF*, 14(28): 847-870.
- Hailemariam, A., and M.O. Erdiaw-Kwasie. 2023. Towards a circular economy: Implications for emission reduction and environmental sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 32(4): 1951–1965.https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3229

- Hasan, M. M. and W. Chongbo. 2020. Estimating energy-related CO2 emission growth in Bangladesh: the LMDI decomposition method approach, *Energy Strategy Review*.https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2020.100565.
- Hassan, M., and D. Rousselière. 2022. Does increasing environmental policy stringency lead to accelerated environmental innovation? A research note. *Applied Economics*, 54(17): 1989-1998.
- Hossain, M.S. 2011. Panel estimation for CO2 emissions, energy consumption, economic growth, trade openness and urbanization of newly industrialized countries, *Energy Policy*. 39(11): 6991 -6999.
- Hossain, M. A., A.K. Karmaker, M.M. Rahman, and M.R. Ahmed. 2020. Exploration and corrective measures of greenhouse gas emission from fossil fuel power stations for Bangladesh. *Journal of Clean Production*. 244: 118645.
- Huang, Q. and M. Gao. 2016. A research on the energy conservation and emission reduction effect of environmental regulation tools in China. *Science Research Management*, 1(1): 30–43.
- Huang, Q. and M. Guo. 2017. Study on energy conservation and emission reduction effects of environmental regulation-empirical analysis based on panel score. *Science and Science and Technology Management*, 38(1): 30–43.
- Huang, R., Y. Li, and G.Chen. 2014. Environmental audit-based model on the regulation of the energy saving and emission reduction. *Operations Research and Management Science*, 23 (1): 23–34.
- IMF. 2023. International Monetary Fund data on environment where did you get it?
- Islam, M. M., M. Irfan, M. Shahbaz, and X.V. Vo. 2022. Renewable and non-renewable energy consumption in Bangladesh: the relative influencing profiles of economic factors, urbanization, physical infrastructure and institutional quality, *Renewable Energy* 184: 1130–1149.
- Islam, M. M, M. K. Khan, M. Tareque, N., Jehan, and V. Dagar. 2021. Impact of globalization, foreign direct investment, and energy consumption on CO 2 emissions in Bangladesh: does institutional quality matter? *Environmental SciencePollution Research*. Published online 1–21.
- Islam, M. Z., Z. Ahmed, M.K. Saifullah, S.N. Huda, and S.M. Al-Islam. 2017. CO 2 emission, energy consumption and economic development: a case of Bangladesh, *J. Asian Finance Econonomcs and Business* 4(4): 61–66.
- Khan, M. A., M. Ahmed, J. Popp, and J. Ol. 2020. US policy uncertainty and stock market nexus revisited through dynamic ARDL simulation and threshold modeling, *Mathematics* 8(11): 2073.

- Khan, M., and I. Ozturk. 2021. Examining the direct and indirect effects of financial development on CO2 emissions for 88 developing countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 293: 112812.
- Kırıkkaleli, D., T. Adebayo, and M. Kondoz, 2022. Impact of economic growth, technological innovation, and globalization on environmental degradation in an emerging market. *JOEEP: Journal of Emerging Economies and Policy*, 7(1): 211-225.
- Li, S., A. Samour, M. Irfan, and M. Ali. 2023. Role of renewable energy and fiscal policy on trade adjusted carbon emissions: Evaluating the role of environmental policy stringency. *Renewable Energy*, 205: 156-165.
- Lin, J., Y. Liu, F. Meng, F., S. Cui, and L. Xu. 2018. Using hybrid method to evaluate carbon footprint of Xiamen City, China. *Energy Policy*, 58: 220–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. enpol.2013.03.007.
- Liu, L., L. Pang, H. Wu, M. Hafeez, and R. Salahodjaev. 2023. Does environmental policy stringency influence CO2 emissions in the Asia Pacific region? A nonlinear perspective. *Air Quality, Atmosphere and Health*, 16(12): 2499-2508.
- Munaro, M. R., S.F. Tavares, and L. Bragança. 2020. Towards circular and more sustainable buildings: A systematic literature review on the circular economy in the built environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 260: 121134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2020.121134
- NEMPSI. 2022. National Environmental Master Plan for Strategic Interventions (2022 2032).
- OECD. (2020), The circular economy in cities and regions: Synthesis report, OECD Urban Studies, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/10ac6ae4-en
- Ouyang, X., Q. Shao, X. Zhu, Q. He, C. Xiang, and G. Wei. 2019. Environmental regulation, economic growth and air pollution: Panel threshold analysis for OECD countries. *Science of the Total Environment*, 657: 234-241.
- Phaneuf, D. J., and T. Requate. 2017. A course in environmental economics: theory, policy, and practice. Cambridge University Press.
- Rahman, M. M. and K. Alam. 2021. Clean energy, population density, urbanization and environmental pollution nexus: evidence from Bangladesh, *Renewable Energy* 172: 1063– 1072.
- Sarkar, M. S. K, S. Sadekaand M.M.H. Sikdar. 2018. Energy consumption and CO2 emission in Bangladesh: trends and policy implications, *Asia Pacific Journal Energy Environment* 5(1): 41–48.
- Sharmin, M. 2021. Relationship of renewable and non-renewable energy utilization with CO2 emission of Bangladesh, *Energy Economic Letters* 8(1): 49–59.

- Sharmin, M. and M. Tareque. 2018. Econometric analysis of the effect of economic globalization, energy intensity, urbanization, industrialization and growth on co2 emissions of Bangladesh, *Management. Global. Transit. International. Research. Journal.* 16(4):??.
- Simionescu, M and B. Gavurova. 2023. Pollution, income inequality and green finance in the new EU member states. *Palgrave communications*, Palgrave Macmillan, 10(1): 1-11
- Song, Y., T. Yang and M. Zhang. 2019. Research on the impact of environmental regulation on enterprise technology innovation—an empirical analysis based on Chinese provincial panel data. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(1): 21835–21848.
- Sun, H. P., W.F. Sun, Y. Geng, X. Yang and B.K. Edziah. 2019. How does natural resource dependence affect public education spending? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(4): 3666–3674.
- Tsai, B. H., C.J. Chang, and C.H. Chang. 2016. Elucidating the consumption and CO2 emissions of fossil fuels and low-carbon energy in the United States using Lotka–Volterra models, *Energy* 100:416–424.
- Valencia-Herrera, H., R.J. Santill'an-Salgado and F. Venegas-Martínez. 2020. On the interaction among economic growth, energy-electricity consumption, CO2 emissions, and urbanization in Latin America, *Rev. Mex Economic Finanz* 15 (4): 745–767.
- Wahid, A. Hossain, A, K. Mahmud and K. Alom. 2017. CO2 emission, power consumption and economic growth in Bangladesh: an ARDL bound testing approach, *Empirical Economic Letters* 16 (5): 365–372.
- Wang, S., G. Li, and C, Fang.2018. Urbanization, economic growth, energy consumption, and CO2 emissions: empirical evidence from countries with different income levels, *Renew. Sustain. Energy* Rev. 81: 2144–2159.
- Wang, Y., J. Liu, L. Hansson, K. Zhang and R. Wang, R. 2022. Implementing stricter environmental regulation to enhance eco-efficiency and sustainability: A case study of Shandong Province's pulp and paper industry, China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 303–310.
- Wang, K., M. Yan, Y. Wang, and C.P. Chang. 2020. The impact of environmental policy stringency on air quality. *Atmospheric Environment*, 231: 117522.
- Waryoba, F. D. 2017. Foreign direct investment and China's productivity growth during the 1997 Asian financial crisis. *Academic Journal of Economic Studies*, 3(3): 33-37. https:// ideas.repec.org/a/khe/scajes/v3y2017i3p33-37.html
- Waryoba, F. D. 2023. Rural versus urban household consumption and income inequality in Tanzania. *Tanzania Journal of Development Studies*, 21(1): 60-75, https://journals.udsm. ac.tz/index.php/tjds/issue/viewFile/628/44

- WHO. 2016. Clean air for health: Geneva Action Agenda. Geneva. Retrieved January 29, 2024 from: https://www.who.int/news/item/01-11-2016-clean-air-for-healthgeneva-actionagenda
- Wu, X. D., X.H. Xia, G.Q. Chen, X.F. Wu and B. Chen. 2017. Embodied energy analysis for coal-based power generation system highlighting the role of indirect energy cost. *Applied Energy*, 184: 936-950
- Xiu, J. and H. Liu. 2014. Energy conservation and emission reduction environmental regulation and Chinese industrial TFP. *Nanjing Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(5): 27–33.
- Xu, J, M. Zhou. and H. Li. 2016. ARDL-based research on the nexus among FDI, environmental regulation, and energy consumption in Shanghai of China. *Natural Hazards*, 84(1): 1–14.
- Yan, M., Y. Wang, K. Wang and C.P. Chang. 2020. The impact of environmental policy stringency on air quality. *Atmospheric Environment*, 23: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosenv.2020.117522.
- Yang, Y., D. Tang and P. Zhang. 2020. Effects of fiscal decentralization on carbon emissions in China. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 14(1): 213–228.
- Zhang, H. 2016. A study on the strategic interaction of regional environmental Regulation-An explanation of the incomplete execution of environmental regulation. *China Industrial Economy*, 4(7): 74–90.
- Zhang, L., J. Pang, X. Chen. and Z. Lu. 2019. Carbon emissions, energy consumption and economic growth: evidence from the agricultural sector of China's main grain-producing areas. *Science Total Environment*, 665: 1017–1025.
- Zhang H, and X. Wei. 2014. Green paradox or forced emission reduction—the dual effect of environmental regulation on carbon emission impact. *China Popul Resour Environ*. 24(9): 21-29.
- Zhou, C., Y. Du and A. Peng. 2016. Does environmental regulation affect the location choice of FDI in China? Empirical study based on cost perspective. *World Economic Studies*, 1(1): 110–112.